JS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

APPENDIX H

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provice by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiat he civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

l. (a) PLAINTIFFS OTIS HICKS & PATRICIA HICKS, h/w					DEFENDANTS BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.; BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION; FIA CARD SERVICES, N.A.						
(c)	Attorney's (Firm Name, A	First Listed Plaintiff XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA Address, and Telephone Number) r Lorenz, P.C., 450 N. Narberth	Cary L. Flitter, Esq., and	th,	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant PHILADELPHIA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.						
II. B	ASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CIT			IPAL PARTIES(P				
	U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U _s S, Government N	Not a Party)	PTF Citize	(For Diversity Cases On	ıy) -]1	DEF ☐ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In This		PTF	DEF	
□ 2	U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	p of Parties in Item III)		n of Another State			Principal Place n Another State	□ 5	□ 5	
					n or Subject of a preign Country	☐ 3	3 Foreign Nation		☐ 6 	<u> </u>	
IV. N	VATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only		For			D A BUTCHE (DOCCIN)	I commo o			
120 130 140 150 & 151 152 St (E 153 of 160 190 195 196 196 1220 1220 1230	Insurance Marine Miller Act Negotiable Instrument Recovery of Overpayment Enforcement of Judgment Medicare Act Recovery of Defaulted tudent Loans Excl. Veterans) Recovery of Overpayment Veteran's Benefits Stockholders' Suits Other Contract Contract Product Liability Franchise REAL PROPERTY Land Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land Tort Product Liability All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault. Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights		RY 61 62 62 62 63 64 65 66 67 69 79 79 79	O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug Forman Related Seizure Of Property 21 USC 881 Uiauor Laws R. & Truck Airline Regs. O Occupational Safety/Health O Other LABOR Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Mgmt. Relations Labor/Mgmt. Reporting Disclosure Act Railway Labor Act O Other Labor Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	422	BANKRUPTCY 2 Appeal 28 USC 158 3 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 OPERTY RIGHTS 0 Copyrights 0 Patent 0 Trademark CIAL SECURITY 1 HIA (1395ff) 2 Black Lung (923) 8 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 4 SSID Title XVI 5 RSI (405(g)) DERAL TAX SUITS 0 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 1 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Rea 410 Antitrust 430 Banks am 450 Commerc 460 Deportati 470 Racketee Corrupt Or 480 Consume 490 Cable/Sat 810 Selective 850 Securities Exchange 875 Customer 12 USC 34 890 Other Sta 891 Agricultu 892 Economic 893 Environm 894 Energy Act 900Appeal of Under Equ to Justice 950 Constitut: State Statu	pportionm d Banking se on r Influence ganization r Credit TV Service s/Commod c Challenge 10 tutory Acts ral Acts e Stabilizati ental Matt Illocation A of Informa Fee Deternal Access ionality of	ed and is lities/e ions tion Act ters Act ation	
V. OR ⊠1	Original 2	State Court Ap	manded from 4 pellate Court	Reinstate Reopened	(specify)	listrict	☐6 Multidistrict ☐7 Litigation	Appeal to Dist Judge from Ma Judgment			
VI. CA	AUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute Brief description of cause			not cite jurisdictional	statutes	unless diversity):				
	EQUESTED IN OMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	CLASS ACTION	DE	MAND \$		K YES only if demanded DEMAND: ☑ Yes	ed in complaint			
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions):					DOC	KET NUMBER					
OR OF	FICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY O	FRECORD		11 -				
RECE	IPT# AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		U JUDGE		MAG. JUDO	GE			

Case 2:14-cv-02697-JHS Document 1 Filed 05/09/14 Page 2 of 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT APPENDIX F FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 3246 Merchantville Avenue, Pennsauken, NJ 08109 Address of Defendant: 4 Penn Center Plaza, 1600 J.F.K. Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19103 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 3246 Merchantville Avenue, Pennsauken, NJ 08109 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a) Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? No 🛛 RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Date Terminated: Judge Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes 🗌 No 🛛 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes 🔲 No 🖾 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No 🛛 CIVIL: (Place In ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Labor-Management Relations 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability (Asbestos) 9. Securities Act(s) Cases All other Diversity Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) I, ANDR<u>EW M. MILZ</u> , counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought Attorney-at-Law **NOTE:** A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. DATE:

CIV 609 (4/03)

Attorney-at-Law

Attorney I.D.

APPENDIX I

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

: CIVIL ACTION

OTIS HICKS & PATRICIA HICKS, h/w

V.

(610) 82 Telepho (Civ.660) 1		<u>m</u>					
S/9 Date	Attorney at Law And Attorney for Plaintiff Attorney for Plaintiff						
(f)	1 21.0	(X)				
	Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases)						
(d)	Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.						
(c)	Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()				
(b)	Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits						
(a)	Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241 through §2255.	()				
SELEC	CT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:						
plaintiffiling the side of designathe pla	ordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, court ff shall complete a case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the first form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regaration, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and intiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the chat defendant believes the case should be assigned.	ne tim he rev ding I serv	ne of erse said e on				
	OF AMERICA, N.A.; BANK OF AMERICA : NO. DRATION; FIA CARD SERVICES, N.A. :						

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

OTIS HICKS & PATRICIA HICKS, h/w 3246 Merchantville Avenue Pennsauken, NJ 08109

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff

VS.

NO.

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. 4 Penn Center Plaza, 1600 J.F.K. Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19103

and

BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION 4 Penn Center Plaza, 1600 J.F.K. Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19103

and

FIA CARD SERVICES, N.A. 4 Penn Center Plaza, 1600 J.F.K. Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19103

Defendants

COMPLAINT

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action for damages brought by individual consumers for violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 (hereinafter "TCPA").
- 2. The TCPA broadly prohibits any person from placing calls and text messages using an automated telephone dialing system or artificial or prerecorded voice to a cellular phone.
- 3. Defendants harassed Plaintiffs with repeated autodialed collection calls to their cell phones, in violation of the TCPA.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has federal question subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' TCPA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331; *Mims v. Arrow Fin. Ser., Inc.*, 132 S.Ct. 740 (2012).
 - 5. Venue in this Court is proper in that Defendant transacts business here.

III. PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiffs Otis Hicks and Patricia Hicks are husband and wife who reside in Pennsauken, NJ at the address captioned.
- 7. Defendant Bank of America, N.A. is a national association with its main office in Charlotte, NC, and a branch office at 4 Penn Center Plaza, 1617 JFK Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19103.
- 8. Defendant, Bank of America Corporation is a Delaware corporation that maintains a corporate headquarters in Charlotte, NC, and operates a branch office at 4 Penn Center Plaza, 1617 JFK Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19103.
- 9. Defendant FIA Card Services, N.A. is a national association that maintains corporate headquarters in Wilmington, DE, and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant Bank of American Corporation, with a branch office at 4 Penn Center Plaza, 1617 JFK Boulevard, Philadelphia, PA 19103.
- 10. Defendants, Bank of America, N.A., Bank of America Corporation, and FIA Card Services, N.A. are collectively referred to herein as ("Bank of America" or "Defendants").
- 11. Bank of America at all relevant times was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

IV. STATEMENT OF CLAIM

- 12. Plaintiff Patricia Hicks has a cellular telephone number (ending in 7349) which she carries on her person and regularly uses.
- 13. Plaintiff Otis Hicks has a cellular telephone number (ending in 7340) which he carries on his person and regularly uses.
- 14. At all relevant times, these phone numbers were assigned to a cellular telephone service Plaintiffs used and paid for.
- 15. Bank of America placed calls to Plaintiffs' cellular telephone numbers in the effort to collect a Bank of America credit account.
 - 16. Bank of America placed hundreds of calls to Plaintiffs' cell phones.
- 17. When answered, there would be a long pause or a recording, consistent with an automated telephone dialing system.
- 18. It is believed, and therefore averred, that the calls made by Bank of America to Plaintiffs' cell phones were made using either an automatic telephone dialing system, as that term is defined in 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1), or an artificial or prerecorded voice.
- 19. Bank of America did not have the "prior express consent" that is required by the TCPA.
- 20. Plaintiffs told Bank of America to stop calling their cell phones, but the calls did not cease.
- 21. These telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes," as defined by the Federal Communication Commission in 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200.
- 22. On December 6, 2007, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") issued a citation to Bank of America for violations of the TCPA, admonishing Bank of America that "[i]f

3

after receipt of this citation, you or your company violate the Communications Act or the Commission's rules in any manner described herein, the Commission may impose monetary forfeitures not to exceed \$11,000 for each such violation or each day of a continuing violation." FCC Citation to Bank of America, NA, Dec. 6, 2007, available at http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-302755A1.pdf

- 23. Notwithstanding its prior violations and FCC's citation, Defendants continued to place prohibited calls to Plaintiffs' cell phones without their prior express consent.
- 24. Defendants willfully placed these auto-dialed calls to Plaintiffs without Plaintiffs' consent.

COUNT I – TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT OTIS HICKS v. ALL DEFENDANTS

- 25. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained above as if the same were here set forth at length.
- 26. Defendants have violated the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., and its implementing Regulation at 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200 et seq., by making any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 27. Plaintiff is entitled, under the TCPA, to statutory damages of not less than \$500.00 nor more than \$1,500.00 for each autodialed or artificial/pre-recorded telephone call to their cellular phones.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Otis Hicks respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendants Bank of America, N.A., Bank of America Corporation and FIA Card Services, N.A. for the following:

- (a) Statutory damages for each call, pursuant to the TCPA;
- (b) A declaration that Defendants' calls violate the TCPA;
- (c) Such other and further relief as the Court shall deem just and proper.

COUNT II – TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT PATRICIA HICKS v. ALL DEFENDANTS

- 28. Plaintiff repeats the allegations contained above as if the same were here set forth at length.
- 29. Defendants have violated the TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., and its implementing Regulation at 47 C.F.R. § 64.1200 et seq., by making any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 30. Plaintiff is entitled, under the TCPA, to statutory damages of not less than \$500.00 nor more than \$1,500.00 for each autodialed or artificial/pre-recorded telephone call to their cellular phones.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Patricia Hicks respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendants Bank of America, N.A., Bank of America Corporation and FIA Card Services, N.A. for the following:

- (a) Statutory damages for each call, pursuant to the TCPA;
- (b) A declaration that Defendants' calls violate the TCPA;

(c) Such other and further relief as the Court shall deem just and proper.

V. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted:

Date: 5/9/19

CARY L. FLITTER

THEODORE E. LORENZ

ANDREW M. MILZ

FLITTER LORENZ, P.C.

450 N. Narberth Avenue, Suite 101 Narberth, PA 19072

(610) 822-0782

Attorneys for Plaintiffs